# Interferometric Imaging in Radio Astronomy with the Sparsity-Promoting Frank-Wolfe Algorithm

Adrian Jarret, PhD student @EPFL/LCAV

joint work with Matthieu Simeoni, Julien Fageot, Martin Vetterli

SKA Days 2021





### INTRODUCTION



Data Processing

## INTRODUCTION



## Data Model and Assumptions

From interferometric measurements to Inverse Problem

• Fourier-type measurements<sup>[1]</sup>:

Van Cittert-Zernike theorem

$$\mathcal{V}(u,v) = \mathcal{F}\{I\}(u,v)$$
$$= \iint I(l,m)e^{-2i\pi(ul+vm)} dldm$$

• Linear inverse problem:

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{\Phi}(I) \in \mathbb{C}^L$$
 Visibility Sky Image



#### Data Model and Assumptions

Optimization Problem

• Discretization:

$$I \approx \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^N \Rightarrow \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

• Our strategy, LASSO<sup>[2]</sup>:

Minimize: 
$$\frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_{1}$$

• Classical solvers: PDS<sup>[3]</sup>, APGD<sup>[4]</sup>, FISTA<sup>[5]</sup>

															_									
-	border		1	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	ł	+	+	+	-
0	sour	ces	5	+	$\pm$	+	+	+	+	$\pm$	$\pm$	+	+	+	$\pm$	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	$\pm$	+	+	2
÷	+	÷	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	$(\pm)$	+	+	+	$\pm$	$(\pm)$	+	+	+	$\pm$	+	+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	$(\pm)$	+	+	-
+	+	ŧ.	+	(+)	+	+	+	1	+	$\pm$	+	-	+	(+	0	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
÷	+	ŧ)	+	$(\pm)$	$\pm$	+	+	+	+	$\pm$	+	(+)	$^{+}$	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	÷	2-
÷	+	÷	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	+	+	$\pm$	(+)	$\pm$	+	+	$\pm$	+	+	+	+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	$\left  + \right $	+	$\pm$	2.4
+	+	÷	+	+	$\oplus$	+	+	+	+	$\pm$	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	$\pm$	+	+	+	2.4
÷	+ -	÷	÷	+	$\pm$	+	+	•	+	$\pm$	+	+	$\pm$	+	+	+	+	÷	+	$\pm$	$\left  + \right $	$^+$	$\pm$	2.
÷	+	÷	÷	$^{+}$	÷	$^{+}$	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	•	+	÷	+	+	+	
÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+		÷	+	+	+	
÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	9	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
÷	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	į.
÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	6
÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
÷	+	+.	÷.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	
÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	$\Phi$	+	+	+	+	
÷	+	÷	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	1	+	+	+	$\pm$	+	+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	
÷	+	÷	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	+	+	-	0+	$\pm$	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	$\pm 1$	+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	$\pm 3$	+	+	2
÷	+	÷.	+	(+)	$\pm$	+	+	4	+	$\pm$	+	-	+	(+)	+	+	+	+		$\pm$	+	+	+	-
+	+	÷.	+	(+)	$\pm$	+	+	+	(+)	$\pm$	+	-	+	(+)	+	+	-	+		$\pm$	+	+	+	
÷	+ -	÷	÷	+	$\pm$	+	+	+	+	$\pm$	+	+	+	+	$\pm$	+	+	+	+	$\pm$	(+)	+	÷	-
÷	+ -	÷	÷	+	$\pm$	+	+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	+	+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	+	+	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	(+)	+	÷	2.4
1	-	-	4	-	4	-		+	-		-	- 1-	4	+	1	-	-	1	-	7	-	-	+	

Our contribution: Reweighted Frank-Wolfe

Algorithm 1 Reweighted Frank-Wolfe (RFW)

Candidate locations:  $S_k \leftarrow \emptyset$ for  $k = 1, \cdots, k_{\max}$  do

1. Estimate new location(s):  $i_k \in \underset{i \in \{1,...,N\}}{\operatorname{arg max}} |\mathbf{G}^* (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)|_i$ 

1.(bis) Update locations:  $S_{k+1} \leftarrow S_k \cup \{i_k\}$ 

2. Complete best reweighting:  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+1} \leftarrow \underset{\operatorname{Supp}(\boldsymbol{\beta})\subset S_{k+1}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_1$ 

Our contribution: Reweighted Frank-Wolfe

Algorithm 1 Reweighted Frank-Wolfe (RFW)

Candidate locations:  $S_k \leftarrow \emptyset$ for  $k = 1, \dots, k_{\max}$  do

1. Estimate new location(s):  $i_k \in \underset{i \in \{1,...,N\}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} |\mathbf{G}^* (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)|_i$ 

1.(bis) Update locations:  $S_{k+1} \leftarrow S_k \cup \{i_k\}$ 

2. Complete best reweighting:  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+1} \leftarrow \underset{\operatorname{Supp}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \subset S_{k+1}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_{1}$ 

Our contribution: Reweighted Frank-Wolfe

Algorithm 1 Reweighted Frank-Wolfe (RFW) Candidate locations:  $S_k \leftarrow \emptyset$ for  $k = 1, \dots, k_{\max}$  do 1. Estimate new location(s):  $i_k \in \underset{i \in \{1,\dots,N\}}{\operatorname{arg min}} |\mathbf{G}^* (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)|_i$ 1.(bis) Update locations:  $S_{k+1} \leftarrow S_k \cup \{i_k\}$ 2. Complete best reweighting:  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+1} \leftarrow \underset{\operatorname{Supp}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \subset S_{k+1}}{\operatorname{arg min}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_1$ end for

Our contribution: Reweighted Frank-Wolfe

Algorithm 1 Reweighted Frank-Wolfe (RFW)

Candidate locations:  $S_k \leftarrow \emptyset$ for  $k = 1, \cdots, k_{\max}$  do

1. Estimate new location(s):  $i_k \in \underset{i \in \{1,...,N\}}{\operatorname{arg max}} |\mathbf{G}^* (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)|_i$ 1.(bis) Update locations:  $S_{k+1} \leftarrow S_k \cup \{i_k\}$ 

Our contribution: Reweighted Frank-Wolfe



Our contribution: Reweighted Frank-Wolfe

Algorithm 1 Reweighted Frank-Wolfe (RFW)

Candidate locations:  $S_k \leftarrow \emptyset$ for  $k = 1, \cdots, k_{\max}$  do

1. Estimate new location(s):  $i_k \in \underset{i \in \{1,...,N\}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} |\mathbf{G}^* (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)|_i$ 1.(bis) Update locations:  $S_{k+1} \leftarrow S_k \cup \{i_k\}$ 2. Complete best reweighting:  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+1} \leftarrow \underset{\operatorname{Supp}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \subset S_{k+1}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_1$ 

Our contribution: Reweighted Frank-Wolfe



Our contribution: Reweighted Frank-Wolfe

 Algorithm 1 Reweighted Frank-Wolfe (RFW)

 Candidate locations:  $S_k \leftarrow \emptyset$  

 for  $k = 1, \dots, k_{\max}$  do

 1. Estimate new location(s):  $i_k \in \underset{i \in \{1,\dots,N\}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} |\mathbf{G}^* (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)|_i < \boldsymbol{\lambda}$  Natural Stopping Criterion

 1.(bis) Update locations:  $S_{k+1} \leftarrow S_k \cup \{i_k\}$  Natural Stopping Criterion

 2. Complete best reweighting:  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+1} \leftarrow \underset{\operatorname{Supp}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \subset S_{k+1}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\beta}||_2^2 + \lambda ||\boldsymbol{\beta}||_1$  

 end for

Data Simulation



Reweighted FW





Reweighted FW





#### 2021.09.08

17

#### **Performances on Simulated Data**

Effect of  $\lambda$ 



Adrian Jarret

$$\boxed{\text{Minimize}: \quad \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \left\| \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\|_{1}}$$

Effect of  $\lambda$ 





#### Comparison with CLEAN



Dirty Image

Adrian Jarret

#### Comparison with CLEAN



Dirty Image

#### Conclusion

Is Reweighted Frank-Wolfe a decent contender for CLEAN?

- Competitive running time
- Improved reconstruction accuracy
- Natural stopping criterion



## Conclusion

Is Reweighted Frank-Wolfe a decent contender for CLEAN?

- Competitive running time
- Improved reconstruction accuracy
- Natural stopping criterion
- Well suited for RA
  - Greedy = adapted to sparse problems
  - Parametric reconstruction (penalization parameter) = adjustable





#### Adrian Jarret

• Well suited for RA

Competitive running time

Natural stopping criterion

Improved reconstruction accuracy

- Greedy = adapted to sparse problems
- Parametric reconstruction (penalization parameter) = adjustable
- Positivity constraint
- Natural extension to continuous data
  - Beyond-the-grid precision
  - Principled theoretical framework

## Conclusion

Is Reweighted Frank-Wolfe a decent contender for CLEAN?







#### References

- [1] Veen, Alle-Jan van der, Stefan J. Wijnholds, and Ahmad Mouri Sardarabadi. 2019. "Signal Processing for Radio Astronomy." In Handbook of Signal Processing Systems.
- [2] Tibshirani, Robert. 1996. "Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B* (*Methodological*) 58 (1): 267–88.
- [3] Condat, Laurent. 2013. "A Primal–Dual Splitting Method for Convex Optimization Involving Lipschitzian, Proximable and Linear Composite Terms." *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 158 (2): 460–79.
- [4] Liang, Jingwei, Tao Luo, and Carola-Bibiane Schönlieb. 2021. "Improving 'Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm': Faster, Smarter and Greedier." *ArXiv:1811.01430 [Math]*, January.
- [5] Beck, Amir, and Marc Teboulle. 2009. "A Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm for Linear Inverse Problems." *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences* 2 (1): 183–202.
- [6] Frank, Marguerite, and Philip Wolfe. 1956. "An Algorithm for Quadratic Programming." Naval Research Logistics Quarterly 3 (1–2): 95–110.

#### Appendice

If there is enough time

Improved CLEAN



#### Improved CLEAN

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

RRMSE: 0.746

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)